

The space of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons on compact Kähler threefolds, I.

Yuuji Tanaka

Abstract

In this article, we introduce perturbed Hermitian-Einstein equations, which we call the Donaldson-Thomas equations, and study local properties of the moduli space of solutions to the equations such as the infinitesimal deformation and the Kuranishi map of the moduli space.

1 Introduction

In the late 90's, S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas proposed gauge theory in higher dimensions in [DT]. Subsequently, R. P. Thomas introduced the holomorphic Casson invariant in [Th]. The holomorphic Casson invariant is a deformation invariant of a smooth projective Calabi-Yau threefold, which is obtained from the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves. This can be viewed as a complex analogy of the Taubes-Casson invariant defined by C. H. Taubes in [Ta]. However, it is not achieved as yet to construct the invariant in a “gauge theoretic”, or an analytic way.

The first candidate of gauge configurations which would describe this invariant could be the Hermitian-Einstein connection, since the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence insists that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the existence of the Hermitian-Einstein connection and the Mumford-Takemoto stability of an irreducible vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold (see e.g. [Ko]). However, the Hermitian-Einstein equations do not form an elliptic system even after gauge fixing in complex dimension three and more, so this might cause a little problem.

To work out this issue, Donaldson and Thomas suggested a perturbation of the Hermitian-Einstein equations in [Th]. This perturbation was also brought in by Baulieu-Kanno-Singer [BKS] and Iqbal-Nekrasov-Okounkov-Vafa [INOV] in different contexts.

Let us introduce the perturbed equations mentioned above: Let Y be a compact Kähler threefold with the Kähler form ω , and $E = (E, h)$ a hermitian vector bundle of rank r over Y . We consider the following equations for a connection A of E , which preserves the hermitian structure of E , and an $\text{End}(E)$ -valued $(0,3)$ -form u on Y :

$$\begin{aligned} F_A^{0,2} + \bar{\partial}_A^* u &= 0, \\ F_A^{1,1} \wedge \omega^2 + [u, \bar{u}] &= \lambda(E) I_E \omega^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda(E)$ is a constant defined by

$$\lambda(E) := \frac{3(c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^2)}{r[\omega]^3}.$$

We call these equations the *Donaldson-Thomas equations*, and a solution (A, u) to these equations *Donaldson-Thomas instanton*.

In this article, we study the infinitesimal deformation and the Kuranishi map of the moduli space of Donaldson-Thomas instantons. The corresponding results for the anti-self-dual equations in real four dimensions were studied by Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer [AHS] (see also [FU]), and for the Hermitian-Einstein equations by H-J. Kim [Ki] (see also [Ko]).

The organization of this article is as follows: In Section 2, we briefly describe more backgrounds such as the Donaldson-Thomas program, the holomorphic Casson invariant, and the Hermitian-Einstein connection. Subsequently, we introduce the Donaldson-Thomas instanton on Kähler threefolds. We also discuss a relation between the Donaldson-Thomas equations and the complex anti-self-dual equations by dimensional reduction. In Section 3, we study local properties of the space of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons as mentioned above.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Mikio Furuta, Ryushi Goto, Ryoichi Kobayashi, and Hiroshi Ohta for valuable comments. He is grateful to Katrin Wehrheim for wonderful encouragement.

2 The holomorphic Casson invariant and the Donaldson-Thomas instanton

We briefly describe the Donaldson-Thomas program, the holomorphic Casson invariant, and the Hermitian-Einstein connection. Afterwards, we introduce the Donaldson-Thomas equations over Kähler threefolds, and discuss

a relation between the Donaldson-Thomas equations and the complex anti-self-dual equations.

2.1 The Donaldson-Thomas program

S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas proposed higher-dimensional analogue of low-dimensional gauge theory in [DT]. More specifically, they suggested the following two directions;

1. Gauge theory in complex 2,3,4 dimensions,
2. Gauge theory on G_2 -manifolds and $Spin(7)$ -manifolds.

The first one concerns a “complexification” of the low-dimensional gauge theory. In this direction, Thomas introduced the holomorphic Casson invariant in [Th], which we shall refer to in the next section. The second one could be related to “topological M-theory” proposed in [N], [DGNV].

2.2 The holomorphic Casson invariant on Calabi-Yau threefolds

R. P. Thomas defined the holomorphic Casson invariant over a Calabi-Yau threefold in [Th]. We can say that it is a complex analogy of the Taubes-Casson invariant defined by C. H. Taubes in [Ta].

The Taubes-Casson invariant was defined by “counting” flat connections over a compact oriented real three-manifold. Donaldson and Thomas speculated that a complex analogy of this Casson-Taubes invariant would be obtained by “counting” holomorphic vector bundles over a Calabi-Yau threefold.

Based on this idea, Thomas defined the holomorphic Casson invariant of a Calabi-Yau threefold by constructing a virtual moduli cycle of the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves under some technical conditions. There, he used well-developed machineries such as the compactification of the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves by Gieseker, and the perfect tangent-obstruction theory by Li-Tian [LT].

2.3 The Hermitian-Einstein connection over Kähler manifolds

On the other hand, a stable vector bundle has a differential-geometric description through the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, which says that

there is a one-to-one correspondence between the existence of the Hermitian-Einstein connection and the Mumford-Takemoto stability of an irreducible holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold.

Thus, one might expect a possibility of an analytic definition of the holomorphic Casson invariant by using the moduli space of the Hermitian-Einstein connections.

However, this would not be literally true. One can see it by considering the infinitesimal deformation of the Hermitian-Einstein connection, which was studied by H-J. Kim [Ki]. We briefly look back on it here.

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with the Kähler form ω , $E = (E, h)$ a hermitian vector bundle over X . A metric preserving connection A of E is said to be a *Hermitian-Einstein connection* if A satisfies the following equations:

$$F_A^{0,2} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Lambda F_A^{1,1} = \lambda(E) I_E, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\lambda(E)$ is defined by

$$\lambda(E) := \frac{n(c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^{n-1})}{r[\omega]^n}, \quad (2.3)$$

and $\Lambda := (\wedge \omega)^*$.

The infinitesimal deformation of a Hermitian-Einstein connection A becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(X, \text{End}(E)) &\xrightarrow{D_A} \Omega^1(X, \text{End}(E)) \xrightarrow{D_A^+} \Omega^+(X, \text{End}(E)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\bar{D}'_A} \Omega^{0,3}(X, \text{End}(E)) \xrightarrow{\bar{D}_A} \Omega^{0,4}(X, \text{End}(E)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\bar{D}_A} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{D}_A} \Omega^{0,n}(X, \text{End}(E)) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^k &:= \Omega^k(X, \text{End}(E)) := A^k(X, \text{End}(E)), \\ \Omega^{p,q} &:= \Omega^{p,q}(X, \text{End}(E)) := A^{p,q}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega^0(X, \text{End}(E)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and $\text{End}(E) = \text{End}(E, h)$ is the bundle of skew-hermitian endmorphisms of E , $A^k(X, \text{End}(E))$ is the space of real k -forms with values in $\text{End}(E)$, $A^{p,q}(X)$ is the space of real (p, q) -forms over X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^+ &:= \Omega^2 \cap (\Omega^{2,0} \oplus \Omega^{0,2} \oplus \Omega^0 \omega) \\ &= \{\phi + \bar{\phi} + f\omega \mid \phi \in \Omega^{2,0}, f \in \Omega^0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$D_A^+ := P^+ \circ D_A, \quad \bar{D}'_A := \bar{D}_A \circ P^{0,2},$$

where $P^+, P^{0,2}$ are respectively the orthogonal projections from Ω^2 to $\Omega^+, \Omega^{0,2}$.

H-J. Kim proved that (2.4) is an elliptic complex if A is a Hermitian-Einstein connection. However, it is obviously not the Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer type complex [AHS] if $n \geq 3$, since there are additional terms such as $\Omega^{0,3}(X, \text{End}(E))$ and so on.

2.4 The Donaldson-Thomas instanton on Kähler threefolds

As we saw in the previous section, the Hermitian-Einstein connection would not work for an analytic construction of the holomorphic Casson invariant. In order to work out the above issue, we “perturb” the Hermitian-Einstein equations. This perturbation was introduced by S. K. Donaldson and R.P. Thomas, and they pointed out that these equations could work for an analytic definition of the holomorphic Casson invariant in [Th].

Let Y be a compact Kähler threefold with the Kähler form ω , and E a hermitian vector bundle of rank r over Y . We consider the following equations for a connection A of E , which preserves the hermitian structure of E , and an $\text{End}(E)$ -valued $(0,3)$ -form u on Y :

$$F_A^{0,2} + \bar{\partial}_A^* u = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$F_A^{1,1} \wedge \omega^2 + [u, \bar{u}] = \lambda(E) I_E \omega^3. \tag{2.8}$$

We call these equations (2.7), (2.8) the *Donaldson-Thomas equations*, and a solution (A, u) to these equations *Donaldson-Thomas instanton*.

One may think of these equations as the Hermitian-Einstein equations with a perturbation u . However, we think of u as a Higgs field, namely, a new variable. One of advantages of introducing the new field u is that the Donaldson-Thomas equations form an elliptic system after fixing a gauge transformation, despite the fact that the Hermitian-Einstein equations on compact Kähler threefolds do not form it in the same way.

These equations (2.7), (2.8) were also studied in physics such as [BKS]. In that context, these equations are interpreted as a bosonic part of dimensional reduction equations of the $N = 1$ super Yang-Mills equation in 10 dimensions to 6 dimensions (see also [INOV], [NOV]).

Remark 2.1. If one uses the Bianchi identity $\bar{\partial}_A F_A^{0,2} = 0$, the Donaldson-

Thomas equations (2.7), (2.8) are rewritten by

$$\bar{\partial}_A^* u = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$F_A^{0,2} = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$F_A^{1,1} \wedge \omega^2 + [u, \bar{u}] = \lambda(E) I_E \omega^3, \quad (2.11)$$

since $\bar{\partial}_A \bar{\partial}_A^* u = 0$ implies $\bar{\partial}_A^* u = 0$ on compact Kähler manifolds.

2.5 The complex anti-self-dual equations and the Donaldson-Thomas equations

In this section, we describe a relation between the Donaldson-Thomas equations and the complex anti-self-dual equations.

First, we introduce the complex anti-self-dual equations on Calabi-Yau fourfolds: Let X be a compact Calabi-Yau fourfold with the Kähler form ω and the holomorphic $(4,0)$ -form θ . We assume the following normalization condition on ω and θ :

$$\theta \wedge \bar{\theta} = \frac{\omega^4}{4!}.$$

Let E be a hermitian vector bundle over X . By using the holomorphic $(4,0)$ -form θ , we can define *the complex Hodge operator*

$$*_\theta : \Omega^{0,2}(X, \text{End}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2}(X, \text{End}(E))$$

by

$$\text{tr}(\phi \wedge *_\theta \psi) = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \bar{\theta}, \quad \phi, \psi \in \Omega^{0,2}(X, \text{End}(E)).$$

This leads one to define “anti-self-duality” over Calabi-Yau fourfolds [DT].

More precisely, we consider the following equations:

$$(1 + *_\theta) F_A^{0,2} = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Lambda F_A^{1,1} = \lambda(E) \text{Id}_E. \quad (2.13)$$

We call these equations (2.12), (2.13) *complex anti-self-dual equations*. These equations were first introduced by Donaldson and Thomas [DT]. We remark that these equations are elliptic after gauge fixing.

Remark 2.2. The complex anti-self-dual equations are interpreted as Ω -anti-self-dual equations which were introduced by Tian [Ti]. Let us describe this briefly.

We choose the Cayley calibration on Calabi-Yau fourfolds as Ω , namely, we put

$$\Omega := 4\text{Re}(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2.$$

This calibration includes special Lagrangian and complex calibrations as special cases, since ω^2 vanishes on special Lagrangian submanifolds and $\text{Re}(\theta)$ vanishes on complex submanifolds. For this choice of Ω , Ω -anti-self-dual equations are exactly the same as (2.12), (2.13) (see [Ti]).

Now, we describe a relation between the Donaldson-Thomas equations (2.7), (2.8) and the complex anti-self-dual equations (2.12), (2.13) by dimensional reduction argument. This was pointed out by Tian [Ti].

Let Y be a Calabi-Yau threefold with the Kähler form ω_0 and the holomorphic $(3,0)$ -form θ_0 , and T^2 a torus of complex dimension one. We consider the direct product of Y and T^2 , and denote it by X , namely, $X := Y \times T^2$. The Kähler form ω and the holomorphic $(4,0)$ -form on X are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &:= \omega_0 + dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \\ \theta &:= \theta_0 \wedge dz,\end{aligned}$$

where dz is the standard flat $(1,0)$ form on T^2 .

Let E be a hermitian vector bundle over Y , and $p : X = Y \times T^2 \rightarrow Y$. We consider T^2 -invariant solutions to the complex anti-self-dual equations (2.12), (2.13) of $p^*(E) \rightarrow X$. Then these solutions satisfy the Donaldson-Thomas equations on Y . In fact, if we write a connection A on $X = Y \times T^2$ as

$$A = A_Y + f dz - \bar{f} d\bar{z},$$

where A_Y is the Y -component of the connection A and $f \in \Gamma(X, \text{End}(E))$, then the curvature becomes

$$F_A = F_{A_Y} + D_{A_Y} f \wedge dz - D_{A_Y} \bar{f} \wedge d\bar{z} - [f, \bar{f}] dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Thus, if we put

$$u := f\bar{\theta}_0 \in \Omega^{0,3}(X, \text{End}(E)),$$

then A_Y and u satisfy the Donaldson-Thomas equations, provided that this A is a T^2 -invariant solution to the complex anti-self-dual equations.

3 Local structures of the space of Donaldson-Thomas instantons

In this section, we study the infinitesimal deformation and the Kuranishi map of the moduli space of Donaldson-Thomas instantons.

3.1 The space of Donaldson-Thomas instantons

Let Y be a Kähler threefold with the Kähler form ω , $E = (E, h)$ a hermitian vector bundle over Y .

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(E) = \mathcal{A}(E, h)$ the set of connections of E which preserve the hermitian structure of $E = (E, h)$. Put

$$\Omega^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E)) := A^{0,3}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega^0(Y, \text{End}(E)),$$

where $A^{0,3}(Y)$ is the space of real $(0, 3)$ -forms over Y and $\text{End}(E) = \text{End}(E, h)$ is the bundle of skew-hermitian endmorphisms of E , and

$$\mathcal{C}(E) := \mathcal{A}(E) \times \Omega^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E)).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{G}(E)$ the gauge group, where the action of the gauge group is defined in the usual way. These spaces are all equipped with C^∞ -topology. Since the action of $\mathcal{G}(E)$ on $\mathcal{C}(E)$ is proper, $\mathcal{C}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E)$ is Hausdorff.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(E)$ the set of all Donaldson-Thomas instantons of E , namely,

$$\mathcal{D}(E) := \{(A, u) \in \mathcal{C}(E); (A, u) \text{ satisfies equations (2.7), (2.8)}\}.$$

Since (2.7), (2.8) are gauge invariant,

$$\mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E)$$

is injective. Thus, $\mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E)$ is also Hausdorff. We call

$$\mathcal{M}(E) := \mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E)$$

the *moduli space of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons*.

3.2 The infinitesimal deformation

The infinitesimal deformation of a Donaldson-Thomas instanton (A, u) is given by

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}^+} \Omega^+ \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^k &:= \Omega^k(Y, \text{End}(E)) := A^k(Y, \text{End}(E)), \\ \Omega^{p,q} &:= \Omega^{p,q}(Y, \text{End}(E)) := A^{p,q}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega^0(Y, \text{End}(E)),\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

and $A^k(Y, \text{End}(E))$ is the space of the real k -forms with values in $\text{End}(E)$, $A^{p,q}(Y)$ is the space of real (p, q) -forms over Y ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^+ &:= \Omega^2 \cap (\Omega^{2,0} \oplus \Omega^{0,2} \oplus \Omega^0 \omega) \\ &= \{\phi + \bar{\phi} + f\omega \mid \phi \in \Omega^{2,0}, f \in \Omega^0\},\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

and $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^+$ are maps defined by

$$\mathcal{D} : g \mapsto (DAg, [u, g]),\tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^+ : (\alpha, v) \mapsto D_A^+ \alpha + \Lambda^2([u, \bar{v}] + [v, \bar{u}]) + \bar{D}_A^* v\tag{3.5}$$

for $g \in \Omega^0$ and $(\alpha, v) \in \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}$, where $\Lambda := (\wedge \omega)^*$.

If (A, u) is the Donaldson-Thomas instanton, then (3.1) is a complex. In fact, $\mathcal{D}^+ \circ \mathcal{D} = 0$ follows directly from the equations (2.7), (2.8).

Proposition 3.1. *If $(A, u) \in \mathcal{D}(E)$, then the complex (3.1) is elliptic.*

proof. We prove that the complex (3.1) is exact at the symbol level.

Let $w \neq 0$ be a real cotangent vector at $y \in Y$. We write

$$w = w^{1,0} + w^{0,1},$$

where $w^{1,0}$ is a $(1, 0)$ -form and $w^{0,1} = \overline{w^{1,0}}$. Then, the symbols for the complex (3.1) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\mathcal{D}, w)a &= (w \wedge a, 0), \\ \sigma(\mathcal{D}^+, w)(a, v) &= (P^+(w \wedge a), \Lambda(w^{0,1})v),\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where $\Lambda(w^{0,1}) := (\wedge w^{0,1})^*$.

The exactness at Ω^0 is obvious. We prove the exactness at $(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3})$. Suppose that

$$P^+(w \wedge a) = 0, \quad \Lambda(w^{0,1})v = 0.\tag{3.7}$$

We write $a = a^{1,0} + a^{0,1}$. Then we have $w^{0,1} \wedge a^{0,1} = 0$. Thus, $a^{0,1} = w^{0,1} \wedge b$ for some $b \in \text{End}(E)_x$, and $a^{1,0} = w^{1,0} \wedge b$. Hence $a = w \wedge b$. For $v \in \Omega_y^{0,3}$, it is obvious since $\Lambda(w^{0,1})v = 0$ implies $v = 0$.

The exactness at Ω^+ just follows from the vanishing of the alternating sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Omega_y^0 - \dim(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3})_y + \dim \Omega_y^+ \\ = 1 - (6 + 2) + \left(2 \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 2}{2} + 1\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

□

We denote by $H^i = H_{(A,u)}^i(Y, \text{End}(E))$ the i -th cohomology of the complex (3.1) for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0 \otimes \mathbb{C} &\simeq H^{0,0}, & h^0 &= h^{0,0}, \\ H^1 &\simeq H^{0,1} \oplus H^{0,3}, & h^1 &= 2h^{0,1} + 2h^{0,3}, \\ H^2 &\simeq H^{0,2} \oplus H^0, & h^2 &= 2h^{0,2} + h^0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $H^{0,i}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) is the i -th Dolbault cohomology, $h^{0,i} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $h^i = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

From the Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem, we obtain the index of the complex (3.1):

$$2(\text{ch}(\text{End}(E)) \cdot \text{Td}(Y))[Y].$$

By using the Riemann-Roch theorem, the index becomes

$$\int_Y c_1(Y) \wedge \left(\frac{r-1}{2} c_1(E)^2 - r c_2(E) \right) + r^2 \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \dim H^{0,i}(Y).$$

Remark 3.2. If a hermitian vector bundle E admits a Hermitian-Einstein connection, then

$$\int_M \omega \wedge \left(r c_2(E) - \frac{r-1}{2} c_1(E)^2 \right) \geq 0.$$

3.3 Local integrability

Let Y be a Kähler threefold with the Kähler form ω , $E = (E, h)$ be a hermitian vector bundle over Y . Let (A, u) be a Donaldson-Thomas instanton. We consider a deformation $[(A + \alpha, u + v)] \in \mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E)$, where $(\alpha, v) \in \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}$. Then, (α, v) satisfies the following:

$$D_A^+ \alpha + P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\bar{D}_A^* v + \Lambda(\alpha)(u + v) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, v) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

where $B(u, v) := \Lambda^2([u, \bar{v}] + [v, \bar{u}])$ and $\Lambda(\alpha) := (\wedge \alpha)^*$. We put

$$\mathcal{S}_{(A, u)} := \{(\alpha, v) \in \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3} \mid (\alpha, v) \text{ satisfies (3.10), (3.11), (3.12)}\}.$$

There is a natural map

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{S}_{(A, u)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E) \quad (3.13)$$

defined by $(\alpha, v) \mapsto [(A + \alpha, u + v)]$.

We denote by $\text{End}^0(E) := \text{End}^0(E, h)$ the bundle of trace-free skew-hermitian endmorphisms of E , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}^k &:= \Omega^k(Y, \text{End}^0(E)) := A^k(Y, \text{End}^0(E)), \\ \tilde{\Omega}^{p,q} &:= \Omega^{p,q}(Y, \text{End}^0(E)) := A^{p,q}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\Omega}^0, \\ \tilde{\Omega}^+ &:= \tilde{\Omega}^2 \cap (\tilde{\Omega}^{2,0} \oplus \tilde{\Omega}^{0,2} \oplus \tilde{\Omega}^0 \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and consider the subcomplex of (3.1):

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}^0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\Omega}^1 \oplus \tilde{\Omega}^{0,3} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}^+} \tilde{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.15)$$

We denote by $\tilde{H}_{(A, u)}^i = H_{(A, u)}^i(Y, \text{End}^0(E))$ the i -th cohomology of the complex (3.15) for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

We denote by $\Gamma_{(A, u)}$ the stabilizer at $(A, u) \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(E)$, namely,

$$\Gamma_{(A, u)} := \{g \in \mathcal{G}(E) \mid g(A, u) = (A, u)\}.$$

We call $(A, u) \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ *regular* if the stabilizer $\Gamma_{(A, u)}$ is the identity, *irreducible* if (A, u) is regular or $\Gamma_{(A, u)}$ coincides with the center of the structure group of E , and *reducible* otherwise. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(E)$ the set of all irreducible Donaldson-Thomas instantons of E .

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(A, u) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(E)$. Then, there exists a neighborhood $U_{(A, u)}$ of 0 in $\mathcal{S}_{(A, u)}$ such that the map $\mathcal{P}$ induces a homeomorphism between $U_{(A, u)}/\Gamma_{(A, u)}$ and a neighborhood of $[(A, u)]$ in $\mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{G}(E)$.*

proof. First, we prove that the map is locally surjective:

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $(A + \alpha, u + v) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(E)$ is close enough to $(A, u) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(E)$, where $(\alpha, v) \in \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}$. Then there exists a gauge transformation g which satisfies*

$$(g(A + \alpha) - A, g(u + v) - v) \in \mathcal{S}_{(A, u)}.$$

proof. Since the equations (3.10) and (3.11) are gauge invariant, what we need to show is

$$\mathcal{D}^*(g(A + \alpha) - A, g(u + v) - v) = 0.$$

From the assumption that (A, u) is irreducible, the kernel of the Laplacian $\Delta^{(0)}$ on $\Omega^0(Y, \text{End}(E))$ is 1-dimensional. We denote by S the orthogonal component of $H^0(Y, \text{End}(E))$ in $\Omega^0(Y, \text{End}(E))$, namely,

$$S := \left\{ s \in \Omega^0; \int_Y \text{Tr}(s) \omega^3 = 0 \right\},$$

so that

$$\Omega^0 = H^0(Y, \text{End}(E)) \oplus S.$$

Note that S is an ideal of the Lie algebra Ω^0 since $\text{Tr}([v_1, v_2]) = 0$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in \Omega^0$.

We define a map

$$F : S \times (\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}) \rightarrow S$$

by

$$F(s, (\alpha, v)) := \mathcal{D}^*(e^s(A + \alpha) - A, e^s(u + v) - u). \quad (3.16)$$

The map F can be extended to a smooth map

$$F : L_{k+1}^p(S) \oplus L_k^p(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}) \rightarrow L_{k-1}^p(S) \quad (3.17)$$

for $k > 6/p$. Now,

$$\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} \right|_{(0,0)} = \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D} = \Delta^{(0)} : L_{k+1}^p(S) \rightarrow L_{k-1}^p(S). \quad (3.18)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, from the implicit function theorem, there exists a smooth map

$$(s, (\alpha, v)) \mapsto s = s(\alpha, v)$$

defined in a neighborhood of $(0, (0, 0))$ in $L_{k+1}^p(S) \oplus L_k^p(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3})$ such that

$$F(s(\alpha, v), (\alpha, v)) = 0.$$

Put $g := e^s$. Then, we have

$$0 = F(s, (\alpha, v)) = g^{-1}(\Delta^{(0)}g + \text{lower order term}). \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, by the elliptic regularity, $g \in C^\infty$. Hence, g is the required gauge transformation with

$$\mathcal{D}^*(g(A + \alpha) - A, g(u + v) - v) = 0.$$

□

Next, we prove the local injectivity of the map $\mathcal{P}$:

Lemma 3.5. *If $(A + \alpha_1, u + v_1)$ and $(A + \alpha_2, u + v_2)$ are sufficiently close to (A, u) and if there exists a gauge transformation g such that*

$$g(A + \alpha_1, u + v_1) = (A + \alpha_2, u + v_2), \quad (3.20)$$

then

$$(\alpha_1, v_1) = (\alpha_2, v_2).$$

proof. If g is sufficiently close to the identity, namely, $g = e^s$ with $s \in \Omega^0$ close enough to 0, then Lemma 3.5 follows from the implicit function theorem. In fact, since $(\alpha_1, v_1), (\alpha_2, v_2) \in \mathcal{S}_{(A, u)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(s, (\alpha_1, v_1)) &= \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_2, v_2) = 0, \\ F(s, (\alpha_1, v_1)) &= \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_1, v_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $s = 0$, and $(\alpha_1, v_1) = (\alpha_2, v_2)$.

Next, we consider the case that g is an arbitrary gauge transformation. By using the decomposition $\Omega^0 = H^0 \oplus S$, we write

$$s = c_s Id_E + s_1,$$

where c_s is a constant, and $s_1 \in S$. Then there exists a constant $z_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|D_A s_1\|_{L_k^p} \geq z_1 \|s_1\|_{L_{k+1}^p}, \quad (3.21)$$

On the other hand, from (3.20), we have

$$D_A s = s \circ \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \circ s. \quad (3.22)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_A s_1\|_{L_k^p} &= \|D_A s\|_{L_k^p} \\ &= z_2 \|s\|_{L_{k+1}^p} (\|\alpha_1\|_{L_k^p} + \|\alpha_2\|_{L_k^p}) \\ &= z_3 (c_s \|Id_E\|_{L_{k+1}^p} + \|s_1\|_{L_{k+1}^p}) (\|\alpha_1\|_{L_k^p} + \|\alpha_2\|_{L_k^p}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where $z_2, z_3 > 0$ are some constants. Hence, we obtain

$$\|s_1\|_{L_{k+1}^p} \leq \frac{c_s \|Id_E\|_{L_{k+1}^p} (\|\alpha_1\|_{L_k^p} + \|\alpha_2\|_{L_k^p})}{1 - z_4 (\|\alpha_1\|_{L_k^p} + \|\alpha_2\|_{L_k^p})}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $z_4 > 0$ is a constant. From this, if α_1 and α_2 are small enough, then $c_s \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{c_s}s = Id_E + \frac{1}{c_s}s_1$$

is close to Id_E . Hence, we can apply the argument before, where g is sufficiently small. $\square$

$\square$

We introduce the Kuranishi map. Let $\Delta^{(i)}, G^{(i)}, H^{(i)}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) be Laplacian, Green function, and harmonic projection of the complex (3.1). These satisfy

$$Id = H^{(i)} + \Delta^{(i)} \circ G^{(i)}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Note that Laplacian $\Delta^{(2)}$ on Ω^+ is written by

$$\Delta^{(2)} = D_A^+(D_A^+)^* + \bar{D}_A^* \bar{D}_A'$$

where $\bar{D}_A' := D_A \circ P^{0,2}$. From these, the left-hand-side of (3.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & D_A^+ \alpha + P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v) \\ &= D_A^+(\alpha + (D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v))) \\ &\quad + \bar{D}_A^* \bar{D}_A' \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)) \\ &\quad + H^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, we obtain the orthogonal decomposition of (3.10) :

$$D_A^+(\alpha + (D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v))) = 0, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\bar{D}_A^* \bar{D}_A' \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)) = 0, \tag{3.27}$$

$$H^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)) = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Also the left-hand-side of (3.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_A^* v + \Lambda(\alpha)(u + v) &= \bar{D}_A^*(v + \bar{D}_A' \circ G^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v))) \\ &\quad + D_A^+(D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v)) \\ &\quad + H^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Thus, the orthogonal decomposition of (3.11) becomes

$$\bar{D}_A^*(v + \bar{D}_A' \circ G^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v))) = 0, \tag{3.30}$$

$$D_A^+(D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v)) = 0, \tag{3.31}$$

$$H^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v)) = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

Now, we define the *Kuranishi map*

$$K : \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} K(\alpha, v) \\ := (\alpha + (D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)), v + \bar{D}'_A \circ G^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v))). \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$\mathbb{H}^i := \mathbb{H}_{(A,u)}^i(Y, \text{End}(E)) := \{\varphi \in \Omega^i \mid \Delta^{(i)}\varphi = 0\} \quad (3.33)$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Then, from the definition of the Kuranishi map, we obtain

$$K(\mathcal{S}_{(A,u)}) \subset \mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3},$$

where $\mathbb{H}^{0,3} := \mathbb{H}^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E))$ is the harmonic part of $\Omega^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E))$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (A, u) be an irreducible Donaldson-Thomas instanton. Suppose that $\tilde{H}_{(A,u)}^2 = 0$. Then the map K gives a homeomorphism from a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{S}_{(A,u)}$ to a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3}$.*

proof. We extend the map K to a map

$$K : L_k^p(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}) \rightarrow L_k^p(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3})$$

for $1 < p < \infty$ and $k > 6/p$. Since the Frechet differential of K at 0 is identity, the inverse mapping theorem on the Banach space insists that there exist a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3}$ and a map

$$L : U \rightarrow L_k^p(\Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3})$$

such that

$$K(L(b, w)) = (b, w).$$

From the assumption that $\tilde{H}_{(A,u)}^2 = H_{(A,u)}^2(Y, \text{End}^0(E)) = 0$, we have

$$H^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.7. *If $(b, w) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3}$ is small enough, then $L(b, w) \in \mathcal{S}_{(A,u)}$.*

proof. Let $(\alpha, v) := L(b, w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \alpha + (D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)), \\ w &= v + \bar{D}'_A \circ G^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v)). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &:= \bar{D}_A^* \bar{D}'_A \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)), \\ \eta &:= D_A^+ (D_A^+)^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (\Lambda(\alpha)(u + v)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(b, w) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3}$ is small enough, $L(b, w) \in S_{(A,u)}$ and

$$H^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\xi = D_A^+ \alpha + P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v), \quad (3.34)$$

$$\eta = \bar{D}_A^* v + \Lambda(\alpha)(u + v). \quad (3.35)$$

From (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{0,2} &= (D_A^+ \alpha + P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v))^{0,2} \\ &= \bar{D}_A \alpha^{0,1} + \alpha^{0,1} \wedge \alpha^{0,1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Thus, $[\xi^{0,2}, \alpha^{0,1}] = [\bar{D}_A \alpha^{0,1}, \alpha^{0,1}]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= G^{(2)} \bar{D}_A^* \bar{D}'_A (P^+(\alpha \wedge \alpha) + B(u, v)) \\ &= G^{(2)} \bar{D}_A^* \bar{D}_A (\alpha^{0,1} \wedge \alpha^{0,1}) \\ &= G^{(2)} \bar{D}_A^* [\bar{D}_A \alpha^{0,1}, \alpha^{0,1}] \\ &= G^{(2)} \bar{D}_A^* [\xi^{0,2}, \alpha^{0,1}] \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Therefore,

$$\|\xi\|_{L_k^p} \leq \|\xi\|_{L_{k+1}^p} \leq z_5 \|\xi\|_{L_k^p} \|\alpha\|_{L_k^p}, \quad (3.38)$$

where $z_5 > 0$ is a constant. Thus, if α is small enough, $\xi = 0$.

In a similar way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= G^{(2)} \circ D_A^+ (D_A^+)^* (*[\alpha, *(u + v)]) \\ &= G^{(2)} D_A^+ * D_A^+ [\alpha^{0,1}, *(u + v)] \\ &= G^{(2)} D_A^+ * ([\bar{D}_A \alpha^{0,1}, *(u + v)] - [\alpha^{0,1}, *D_A^* v]) \\ &= G^{(2)} D_A^+ * [\alpha^{0,1}, *\eta]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Therefore,

$$\|\eta\|_{L_k^p} \leq \|\eta\|_{L_{k+1}^p} \leq z_6 \|\alpha\|_{L_{k+1}^p} \|\eta\|_{L_{k+1}^p}. \quad (3.40)$$

Thus, if α is small enough, $\eta = 0$. $\square$

$\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(A, u) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(E)$. Suppose that $\tilde{H}_{(A,u)}^2 = 0$. Then every*

$$(\tilde{A}, \tilde{u}) \in H_{(A,u)}^1(Y, \text{End}(E))$$

comes from a 1-parameter family of variations $(A_t, u_t) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(E)$ of (A, u) .

proof. Since $H_{(A,u)}^1(Y, \text{End}(E)) \simeq \mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3}$, we prove that for any $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \oplus \mathbb{H}^{0,3}$ there exists $(\alpha_t, v_t) \in \Omega^1 \oplus \Omega^{0,3}$ with $\alpha_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_A^+ \alpha_t + P^+(\alpha_t \wedge \alpha_t) + B(u, v_t) &= 0, \\ \bar{D}_A^* v_t + \Lambda(\alpha_t)(u + v_t) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $H(\dot{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}, H(\dot{v}) = \tilde{v}$, where $\dot{\alpha} := \frac{d}{dt} \alpha_t|_{t=0}, \dot{v} := \frac{d}{dt} v_t|_{t=0}$.

If t is small enough, then there exists a unique $(\alpha_t, v_t) \in \mathcal{S}_{(A,u)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t\tilde{\alpha} &= \alpha_t + D_+^* \circ G^{(2)} \circ (P^+(\alpha_t \wedge \alpha_t) + B(u, v_t)), \\ t\tilde{v} &= v_t + \bar{D}_A' \circ G^{(2)}(\Lambda(\alpha_t)(u + v_t)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\alpha_0 = v_0 = 0$, and $t\tilde{\alpha} = H(\alpha_t), t\tilde{v} = H(v_t)$. Differentiating these with respect to t , we obtain

$$\tilde{\alpha} = H(\dot{\alpha}), \tilde{v} = H(\dot{v}).$$

$\tilde{H}_{(A_t, u_t)}^0 = 0$ follows from the upper semi-continuity of the cohomology of the elliptic complex. $\square$

References

- [AHS] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, and I. M. Singer. Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 362(1711):425–461, 1978.
- [BKS] Laurent Baulieu, Hiroaki Kanno, and I. M. Singer. Special quantum field theories in eight and other dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 194(1):149–175, 1998.

- [DGNV] Robbert Dijkgraaf, Sergei Gukov, Andrew Neitzke, and Cumrun Vafa. Topological M-theory as unification of form theories of gravity. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 9:593–602, 2005.
- [DT] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas. Gauge theory in higher dimensions. In *The geometric universe (Oxford, 1996)*, pages 31–47. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [FU] Daniel S. Freed and Karen K. Uhlenbeck. *Instantons and four-manifolds*, volume 1 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [INOV] A. Iqbal, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and C. Vafa. Quantum foam and topological strings. *preprint, hep-th/0312022*, 2003.
- [Ki] Hong-Jong Kim. Moduli of Hermite-Einstein vector bundles. *Math. Z.*, 195(1):143–150, 1987.
- [Ko] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, volume 15 of *Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987. Kano Memorial Lectures, 5.
- [LT] Jun Li and Gang Tian. Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(1):119–174, 1998.
- [N] Nikita Nekrasov. $\mathbf{Z}$ -theory: chasing m/f theory. *C. R. Phys.*, 6(2):261–269, 2005. Strings 04. Part II.
- [NOV] Nikita Nekrasov, Hiroshi Ooguri, and Cumrun Vafa. S -duality and topological strings. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (10):009, 11 pp. (electronic), 2004.
- [Ta] Clifford Henry Taubes. Casson’s invariant and gauge theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 31(2):547–599, 1990.
- [Th] R. P. Thomas. A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on $K3$ fibrations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(2):367–438, 2000.
- [Ti] Gang Tian. Gauge theory and calibrated geometry. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(1):193–268, 2000.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T.
77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge MA 02139, USA.
e-mail: tanaka@math.mit.edu